

incurred by the UNDP country office in providing such support services shall be recovered from the administrative budget of the office.

ANNEX 2. SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING TEMPLATE

Project Information	
1. Project Title	Support to Economic Development at the Local Level in the Republic of Belarus
2. Project Number	ENI/2017/386-712, 00108243
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Republic of Belarus

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?
Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach
The project directly contributes to the right to work ICESCR Art 6.1, the right to an adequate standard of living ICESCR Art 11, as well as the elimination of discrimination against women UN CEDA Art 14.
Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment
The project has an overall target of 50% female participation across its activities
Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability
The project will follow the internationally recognized environmental norms.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
	I = 2 P = 1	low		
	Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance)			Comments
	Low Risk			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Moderate Risk			<input type="checkbox"/>
	High Risk			<input type="checkbox"/>

Check all that apply	Comments
Principle 1: Human Rights <input type="checkbox"/>	
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment <input type="checkbox"/>	
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Socially-oriented Public Health, Safety and Working Conditions <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Cultural Heritage <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Displacement and Resettlement <input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Indigenous Peoples <input type="checkbox"/>	



7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	<input type="checkbox"/>	
--	--------------------------	--

Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description
Quality Assurance Assessor		UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
Quality Assurance Approver		UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The Quality Assurance Approver cannot also be the Quality Assurance Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
Project Appraisal Committee Chair		UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the Quality Assurance Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks		
		Answer (Yes/No)
Principles 1: Human Rights		
1.	<i>Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?</i>	No
2.	<i>Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?</i>	No
3.	<i>Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?</i>	No
4.	<i>Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?</i>	No
5.	<i>Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?</i>	No
6.	<i>Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?</i>	No
7.	<i>Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?</i>	No
8.	<i>Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project- affected communities and individuals?</i>	No
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment		
1.	<i>Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?</i>	No
2.	<i>Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?</i>	No
3.	<i>Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?</i>	No



<p>4. Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?</p> <p><i>For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being</i></p>	No
---	-----------

Standard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1 <i>Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?</i>	No
3.2 <i>Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?</i>	No
3.3 <i>Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?</i>	No
3.4 <i>Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)</i>	No
3.5 <i>Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?</i>	No
3.6 <i>Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?</i>	No
3.7 <i>Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?</i>	No
3.8 <i>Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?</i>	No
3.9 <i>Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?</i>	No
Standard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1 <i>Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)</i>	No
4.2 <i>Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?</i>	No
Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1 <i>Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?</i>	No
5.2 <i>Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions - even in the absence of physical relocation)?</i>	No
5.3 <i>Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions?</i>	No
5.4 <i>Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?</i>	No
Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1 <i>Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?</i>	No
6.2 <i>Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?</i>	No



<p>6.3 Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?</p> <p><i>If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.</i></p>	No
<p>6.4 Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?</p>	No
<p>6.5 Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?</p>	No
<p>6.6 Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?</p>	No
<p>6.7 Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?</p>	No
<p>6.8 Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?</p>	No
<p>6.9 Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?</p>	No
<p>7: Standard Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</p>	
<p>7.1 Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or nonroutine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, or transboundary impacts?</p>	No
<p>7.2 Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non hazardous)?</p>	No
<p>7.3 Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?</p> <p><i>For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol</i></p>	No
<p>7.4 Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?</p>	No
<p>7.5 Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?</p>	No

